

Network Working Group
Request for Comments: 3759
Updates: 3095
Category: Informational

L-E. Jonsson
Ericsson
April 2004

RObust Header Compression (ROHC): Terminology and Channel Mapping Examples

Status of this Memo

This memo provides information for the Internet community. It does not specify an Internet standard of any kind. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2004). All Rights Reserved.

Abstract

This document aims to clarify terms and concepts presented in RFC 3095. RFC 3095 defines a Proposed Standard framework with profiles for RObust Header Compression (ROHC). The standard introduces various concepts which might be difficult to understand and especially to relate correctly to the surrounding environments where header compression may be used. This document aims at clarifying these aspects of ROHC, discussing terms such as ROHC instances, ROHC channels, ROHC feedback, and ROHC contexts, and how these terms relate to other terms, like network elements and IP interfaces, commonly used, for example, when addressing MIB issues.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Terminology.	3
3.	ROHC External Terminology.	6
3.1.	Network Elements and IP Interfaces	6
3.2.	Channels	7
3.3.	A Unidirectional Point-to-Point Link Example	8
3.4.	A Bi-directional Point-to-Point Link Example	8
3.5.	A Bi-directional Multipoint Link Example	9
3.6.	A Multi-Channel Point-to-Point Link Example.	9
4.	ROHC Instances	10
4.1.	ROHC Compressors	11
4.2.	ROHC Decompressors	12
5.	ROHC Channels.	13
6.	ROHC Feedback Channels	14
6.1.	Single-Channel Dedicated ROHC FB Channel Example	14
6.2.	Piggybacked/Interspersed ROHC FB Channel Example	15
6.3.	Dual-Channel Dedicated ROHC FB Channel Example	16
7.	ROHC Contexts.	17
8.	Summary.	18
9.	Implementation Implications.	18
10.	Security Considerations.	19
11.	Acknowledgements	19
12.	Informative References	19
13.	Author's Address	19
14.	Full Copyright Statement	20

1. Introduction

In RFC 3095, the RObust Header Compression (ROHC) standard framework is defined, along with 4 compression profiles [RFC-3095]. Various concepts are introduced within the standard that are not all very extensively defined and described, which can easily be an obstacle when trying to understand the standard. This can especially be the case when one considers how the various parts of ROHC relate to the surrounding environments where header compression may be used.

The purpose of this document is to clarify these aspects of ROHC through examples and additional terminology, discussing terms such as ROHC instances, ROHC channels, ROHC feedback, and ROHC contexts. This especially means to clarify how these terms relate to other terms, such as network elements and IP interfaces, which are commonly used for example when addressing MIB issues. One explicit goal of this document is to support and simplify the ROHC MIB development work.

The main part of this document, sections 3 to 8, focuses on clarifying the conceptual aspects, entity relationships, and terminology of ROHC [RFC-3095]. Section 9 explains some implementation implications that arise from these conceptual aspects.

2. Terminology

ROHC instance

A logical entity that performs header compression or decompression according to one or several ROHC profiles can be referred to as a ROHC instance. A ROHC instance is either a ROHC compressor instance or a ROHC decompressor instance. See section 4.

ROHC compressor instance

A ROHC compressor instance is a logical entity that performs header compression according to one or several ROHC profiles. There is a one-to-one relation between a ROHC compressor instance and a ROHC channel, where the ROHC compressor is located at the input end of the ROHC channel. See section 4.1.

ROHC decompressor instance

A ROHC decompressor instance is a logical entity that performs header decompression according to one or several ROHC profiles. There is a one-to-one relation between a ROHC decompressor instance and a ROHC channel, where the ROHC decompressor is located at the output end of the ROHC channel. See section 4.2.

Corresponding decompressor

When talking about a compressor's corresponding decompressor, this refers to the peer decompressor located at the other end of the ROHC channel to which the compressor sends compressed header packets, i.e., the decompressor that decompresses the headers compressed by the compressor.

Corresponding compressor

When talking about a decompressor's corresponding compressor, this refers to the peer compressor located at the other end of the ROHC channel from which the decompressor receives compressed header packets, i.e., the compressor that compresses the headers the decompressor decompresses.

ROHC peers

A ROHC compressor and its corresponding ROHC decompressor are referred to as ROHC peers.

Link

A communication path between two network entities is, in this document, generally referred to as a link.

Bi-directional compression

If there are means to send feedback information from a decompressor to its corresponding compressor, the compression performance can be improved. This way of operating, utilizing the feedback possibility for improved compression performance, is referred to as bi-directional compression.

Unidirectional compression

If there are no means to send feedback information from a decompressor to its corresponding compressor, the compression performance might not be as good as if feedback could be utilized. This way of operating, without making use of feedback for improved compression performance, is referred to as unidirectional compression.

ROHC channel

When a ROHC compressor has transformed original packets into ROHC packets with compressed headers, these ROHC packets are sent to the corresponding decompressor through a logical point-to-point connection dedicated to that traffic. Such a logical channel, which only has to carry data in this single direction from compressor to decompressor, is referred to as a ROHC channel. See section 5.

ROHC feedback channel

To allow bi-directional compression operation, a logical point-to-point connection must be provided for feedback data from the decompressor to its corresponding compressor. Such a logical channel, which only has to carry data in the single direction from decompressor to compressor, is referred to as a ROHC feedback channel. See section 6.

Co-located compressor/decompressor

A minimal ROHC instance is only a compressor or a decompressor, communicating with a corresponding decompressor or compressor peer at the other end of a ROHC channel, thus handling packet streams sent in one direction over the link. However, in many cases, the link will carry packet streams in both directions, and it would then be desirable to also perform header compression in both directions. That would require both a ROHC compressor and a ROHC decompressor at each end of the link, each referred to as a co-located compressor/decompressor pair.

Associated compressor/decompressor

If there is a co-located ROHC compressor/decompressor pair at each end of a link, feedback messages can be transmitted from a ROHC decompressor to its corresponding compressor by creating a virtual ROHC feedback channel among the compressed header packets sent from the co-located ROHC compressor to the decompressor co-located with the compressor at the other end. When a co-located ROHC compressor/decompressor pair is connected for this purpose, they are said to be associated with each other.

Interspersed feedback

Feedback from a ROHC decompressor to a ROHC compressor can either be sent on a separate ROHC feedback channel dedicated to feedback packets, or sent among compressed header packets going in the opposite direction from a co-located (associated) compressor to a similarly co-located decompressor at the other end of the link. If feedback packets are transmitted in the latter way and sent as stand-alone packets, this is referred to as interspersed feedback. See section 6.2 for an example.

Piggybacked feedback

Feedback from a ROHC decompressor to a ROHC compressor can either be sent on a separate ROHC feedback channel dedicated to feedback packets, or sent among compressed header packets going in the opposite direction from a co-located (associated) compressor to a similarly co-located decompressor at the other end of the link. If feedback packets are transmitted in the latter way and sent encapsulated within compressed header packets going in the other direction, this is referred to as piggybacked feedback. See section 6.2 for an example.

Dedicated feedback channel

A dedicated feedback channel is a logical layer two channel from a ROHC decompressor to a ROHC compressor, used only to transmit feedback packets. See sections 6.1 and 6.3 for examples.

3. ROHC External Terminology


When considering aspects of ROHC that relate to the surrounding networking environment where header compression may be applied, unnecessary confusion is easily created because a common, well understood, and well defined, terminology is missing. One major goal with this document is to define the preferred terminology to use when discussing header compression network integration issues.

3.1. Network Elements and IP Interfaces

Header compression is applied over certain links, between two communicating entities in a network. Such entities may be referred to as "nodes", "network devices", or "network elements", all terms usually having the same meaning. However, practice within the area of network management favors using the term "network element", which is therefore consistently used throughout the rest of this document.

A network element communicates through one or several network interfaces, which are often subject to network management, as defined by MIB specifications. In all IP internetworking, each such interface has its own IP identity, providing a common network interface abstraction, independent of the link technology hidden below the interface. Throughout the rest of this document, such interfaces will be referred to as "IP interfaces".

Thus, to visualize the above terms, the top level hierarchy of a network element is as follows, with one or several IP interfaces:




The next section builds on this top level hierarchy by looking at what is below an IP interface.

3.2. Channels

As mentioned in the previous section, an IP interface can be implemented on top of almost any link technology, although different link technologies have different characteristics, and provide communication by different means. However, all link technologies provide the common capability to send and/or receive data to/from the IP interface. A generic way of visualizing the common ability to communicate is to envision it as one or several logical communication channels provided by the link, where each channel can be either bi-directional or unidirectional. Such logical point-to-point connections will, throughout the rest of this document, be referred to as "channels", either bi-directional or unidirectional. Note that this definition of "channels" is less restrictive than the definition of "ROHC channels", as given in section 5.

Extending the above network element hierarchy with the concept of channels would then lead to the following:



Whether there is more than one channel, and whether the channel(s) is/are bi-directional or unidirectional (or a mix of both) is link technology dependent, as is the way in which channels are logically created.


The following subsections, 3.3-3.6, give a number of different link examples, and relate these to the general descriptions above. Further, each section discusses how header compression might be applied in that particular case. The core questions for header compression are:

- Are channels bi- or unidirectional?
- Is the link point-to-point? If not, a lower layer addressing scheme is needed to create logical point-to-point channels.

Note that these subsections talk about header compression in general, while later sections will address the case of ROHC in more detail. Further, one should remember that in the later sections, the general channel definition is slightly enhanced for header compression by the definition of the ROHC channel (section 5) and the ROHC feedback channel (section 6), while here the basic channel concept is used, as defined above.

3.3. A Unidirectional Point-to-Point Link Example


The simplest possible link example one can derive from the general overview above is the case with one single unidirectional channel between two communicating network elements.



A typical example of a point-to-point link with one unidirectional channel like this is a satellite link. Since there is no return path present, only unidirectional header compression can be applied here.

3.4. A Bi-directional Point-to-Point Link Example


Taking the above example one step further, the natural extension would be an example with one single bi-directional channel between two communicating network elements. In this example, there are still only two endpoints and one single channel, but the channel is simply enhanced to allow bi-directional communication.



It should be noted that all figures in sections 4-6 have been rotated 90 degrees to simplify drawing, i.e., they do not show a "stack view".

4.1. ROHC Compressors

A ROHC compressor instance supports header compression according to one or several ROHC profiles. Apart from potential configuration or control interfaces, a compressor instance receives and sends data through 3 inputs and 1 output, as illustrated by the figure below:



Uncompressed Input (UI): Uncompressed packets are delivered from higher layers to the compressor through the UI.

Compressed Output (CO): Compressed packets are sent from the compressor through the CO, which is always connected to the input end of a ROHC channel (see section 5).

Feedback Input (FI):
[optional] Feedback from the corresponding decompressor is received by the compressor through the FI, which (if present) is connected to the output end of a ROHC feedback channel of some kind (see section 6). When there are no means to transmit feedback from decompressor to compressor, FI is not used, and bi-directional compression will not be possible.

Piggyback Input (PI):
[optional] If the compressor is associated with a co-located decompressor, for which the compressor delivers feedback to the other end of the link, feedback data for piggybacking is delivered to the compressor through the PI. If this input is used, it is connected to the FO of the co-located decompressor (see section 4.2).

4.2. ROHC Decompressors

A ROHC decompressor instance supports header decompression according to one or several ROHC profiles. Apart from potential configuration or control interfaces, a decompressor instance receives and sends data through 1 input and 3 outputs, as illustrated by the figure below:



Compressed Input (CI): Compressed packets are received by the decompressor through the CI, which is always connected to the output end of a ROHC channel (see section 5).

Decompressed Output (DO): Decompressed packets are delivered from the decompressor to higher layers through the DO.

Feedback Output (FO):
[optional] Feedback to the corresponding compressor is sent from the compressor through the FO, which (if present) is connected to the input end of a ROHC feedback channel of some kind (see section 6). When there are no means to transmit feedback from decompressor to compressor, FO is not used, and bi-directional compression will not be possible.


Piggyback Output (PO):
[optional] If the decompressor is associated with a co-located compressor to which the decompressor delivers feedback it receives piggybacked from the other end of the link, the received feedback data is delivered from the decompressor through the PO. If this output is used, it is connected to the FI of the co-located compressor (see section 4.1).

5. ROHC Channels

In section 3, a general concept of channels was introduced. According to that definition, a channel is basically a logical point-to-point connection between the IP interfaces of two communicating network elements. By that definition, a channel represents the kind of logical connection needed to make header compression generally applicable, and then the channel properties control whether compression can operate in a unidirectional or bi-directional manner.

The channel concept thus facilitates general header compression discussions, but since it groups unidirectional and bi-directional connections together, it does not provide the means for describing details of how ROHC logically works. Therefore, for the case of ROHC, the channel concept is enhanced and a more restricted concept of "ROHC channels" is defined.

A ROHC channel has the same properties as a channel, with the difference that a ROHC channel is always unidirectional. A ROHC channel therefore has one single input endpoint, connected to the CO of one single ROHC compressor instance, and one single output endpoint, connected to the CI of one single ROHC decompressor instance. A ROHC channel must thus in this way be logically dedicated to one ROHC compressor and one ROHC decompressor, hereafter referred to as ROHC peers, creating a one-to-one mapping between a ROHC channel and two ROHC compressor/decompressor peers.




In many cases the lower layer channel is by nature bi-directional, but for ROHC communication over that channel, a ROHC channel would only represent one communication direction of that channel. For bi-directional channels, a common case would be to logically allocate one ROHC channel in each direction, allowing ROHC compression to be performed in both directions. The reason for defining ROHC channels as unidirectional is basically to separate and generalize the concept of feedback, as described and exemplified in section 6.

6. ROHC Feedback Channels

Since ROHC can be implemented over various kinds of links, unidirectional or bi-directional one-channel links, as well as multi-channel links, the logical transmission of feedback from decompressor to compressor has been separated out from the transport of actual ROHC packets through the definition of ROHC channels as always being unidirectional from compressor to decompressor. This means that an additional channel concept must be defined for feedback, which is what will hereafter be referred to as "ROHC feedback channels".

In the same way as a ROHC channel is a logically dedicated unidirectional channel from a ROHC compressor to its corresponding ROHC peer decompressor, a ROHC feedback channel is a logically dedicated unidirectional channel from a ROHC decompressor to its corresponding ROHC peer compressor. A ROHC feedback channel thus has one single input endpoint, connected to the FO of one single ROHC decompressor instance, and one single output endpoint, connected to the FI of one single ROHC compressor instance.




The reason for making this simplification and logically separating ROHC channels from ROHC feedback channels is generality for handling of feedback. ROHC has been designed with the assumption of logical separation, which creates flexibility in realizing feedback transport, as discussed in [RFC-3095, section 5.2.1]. There are no restrictions on how to implement a ROHC feedback channel, other than that it must be made available and be logically dedicated to the ROHC peers if bi-directional compression operation is to be allowed.

The following subsections provide some, not at all exhaustive, examples of how a ROHC feedback channel might possibly be realized.

6.1. Single-Channel Dedicated ROHC Feedback Channel Example

This section illustrates a one-way compression example where one bi-directional channel has been configured to represent a ROHC channel in one direction and a dedicated ROHC feedback channel in the other direction.




This section illustrates how a bi-directional channel has been configured to represent one ROHC channel in each direction, while still allowing feedback to be transmitted through ROHC piggybacking and interspersing.



In this example, feedback is transmitted piggybacked or interspersed among compressed header packets in the ROHC channels, as discussed in e.g., feedback realization example 4-6 of ROHC [RFC-3095, page 44]. Feedback from decompressor A to compressor A is here sent through FO(A)->PI(B), piggybacked on a compressed packet over ROHC channel B, and delivered to compressor A through PO(B)->FI(A). A logical ROHC feedback channel is thus provided from the PI input at compressor B to the PO output at decompressor B. It should be noted that in this picture, PO and FO at the decompressors have been swapped to simplify drawing.

6.3. Dual-Channel Dedicated ROHC Feedback Channel Example

This section illustrates how two bi-directional channels have been configured to represent two ROHC channels and two dedicated ROHC feedback channels, respectively.




In this example, feedback is, in both directions, sent on its own dedicated channel, as discussed in e.g., feedback realization example 1-3 of ROHC [RFC-3095, page 44]. With this configuration, the piggybacking/interspersing mechanism of ROHC is not used, and the PI/PO connections are thus left open (marked with a "o"). It should

be noted that in this picture FI/PI and PO/FO at the A-instances have been swapped to simplify drawing, while the B-instances have been horizontally mirrored.

7. ROHC Contexts

In previous sections, it has been clarified that one network element may have multiple IP interfaces, one IP interface may have multiple ROHC instances running (not necessarily both compressors and decompressors), and for each ROHC instance, there is exactly one ROHC channel and optionally one ROHC feedback channel. How ROHC channels and ROHC feedback channels are realized will differ from case to case, depending on the actual layer two technology used.

Each compressor/decompressor can further compress/decompress an arbitrary (but limited) number of concurrent packet streams sent over the ROHC channel connected to that compressor/decompressor. Each packet stream relates to one particular context in the compressor/decompressor. When sent over the ROHC channel, compressed packets are labeled with a context identifier (CID), indicating to which context the compressed packet corresponds. There is thus a one-to-one mapping between the number of contexts that can be present in a compressor/decompressor and the context identifier (CID) space used in compressed packets over that ROHC channel. This is illustrated by the following figure:



It should be noted that each ROHC instance at an IP interface therefore has its own context and CID space, and it must be ensured that the CID size of the corresponding decompressor at the other end of the ROHC channel is not smaller than the CID space of the compressor.

8. Summary

This document has introduced and defined a number of concepts and terms for use in ROHC network integration, and explained how the various pieces relate to each other. In the following bullet list, the most important relationship conclusions are repeated:

- A network element may have one or several IP interfaces.
- Each IP interface is connected to one or several logical layer two channels.
- Each IP interface may have one or several ROHC instances, either compressors, decompressors, or an arbitrary mix of both.
- For each ROHC instance, there is exactly one ROHC channel, and optionally exactly one ROHC feedback channel.
- How ROHC channels and ROHC feedback channels are realized through the available logical layer two channels will vary, and there is therefore no general relation between ROHC instances and logical layer two channels. ROHC instances map only to ROHC channels and ROHC feedback channels.
- Each compressor owns its own context identifier (CID) space, which is the multiplexing mechanism it uses when sending compressed header packets to its corresponding decompressor. That CID space thus defines how many compressed packet streams can be concurrently sent over the ROHC channel allocated to the compressor/decompressor peers.

9. Implementation Implications

This section will address how the conceptual aspects discussed above affect implementations of ROHC.

ROHC is defined as a general header compression framework on top of which compression profiles can be defined for each specific set of headers to compress. Although the framework holds a number of important mechanisms, the separation between framework and profiles is mainly a separation from a standardization point of view, to indicate what must be common to all profiles, what must be defined by all profiles, and what are profile-specific details. To implement the framework as a separate module is thus not an obvious choice, especially if one wants to use profile implementations from different vendors. However, optimized implementations will probably separate the common parts and implement those in a ROHC framework module, and add profile modules to that.

A ROHC instance might thus consist of various pieces of implementation modules, profiles, and potentially also a common ROHC module, possibly from different vendors. If vendor and implementation version information is made available for network management purposes, this should thus be done on a per-profile basis, and potentially also for the instance as a whole.

10. Security Considerations

The clear understanding of ROHC channels and their relations to IP interfaces and the physical medium, plays a critical role in ensuring secure usage of ROHC. This document is therefore a valuable adjunct to the Security Considerations found in RFC 3095 and other ROHC specifications. However, as it just reviews information and definitions, it does not add new security issues to the ROHC protocol specifications.

11. Acknowledgements

Thanks to Juergen Quittek, Hans Hannu, Carsten Bormann, and Ghyslain Pelletier for fruitful discussions, improvement suggestions, and review. Thanks also to Peter Eriksson for doing a language review.

12. Informative References

[RFC-3095] Bormann, C., Burmeister, C., Degermark, M., Fukushima, H., Hannu, H., Jonsson, L-E., Hakenberg, R., Koren, T., Le, K., Liu, Z., Martensson, A., Miyazaki, A., Svanbro, K., Wiebke, T., Yoshimura, T. and H. Zheng, "Robust Header Compression (ROHC): Framework and four profiles: RTP, UDP, ESP, and uncompressed", RFC 3095, July 2001.

13. Author's Address

Lars-Erik Jonsson
Ericsson AB
Box 920
SE-971 28 Lulea
Sweden

Phone: +46 920 20 21 07
Fax: +46 920 20 20 99
EMail: lars-erik.jonsson@ericsson.com

14. Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2004). This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in BCP 78, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

Acknowledgement

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.

